

**PROPOSED COUNCIL STUDY ISSUE**

**For Calendar Year:** 2004

**Continuing** ☐

**New** ☐

**Previous Year (below line/defer)** ☒

**Issue:** Update of the Socio-Economic Element

**Lead Department:** Community Development

**General Plan Element or Sub-Element:** Socio-Economic Element

**1. What are the key elements of the issue? What precipitated it?**

The Socio-Economic Element of the General Plan was adopted on July 11, 1989, and is based on 1980 census data. The element necessarily contains some duplication with subjects in other elements of the General Plan. Such overlap includes: the Land Use and Transportation Element; Housing and Community Revitalization Sub-element; Law Enforcement Sub-element; and, the Planning and Management Element. All of these elements have been updated since adoption of the Socio-Economic Element.

The topics of the Socio-Economic Element address the health and social welfare of the residents of Sunnyvale. The information is used in concert with other elements of the General Plan to guide community development. As such, it is important to keep all General Plan elements current and internally consistent. With the availability of 2000 Census data it is an appropriate time to update the Socio-Economic Element.

This item was ranked 2 of 5 by the Planning Commission and deferred by the City Council in 2003.

**2. How does this relate to the General Plan or existing City Policy?**

**Legislative Management Sub-Element:**

**7.3A.1c** Review and update each General Plan sub-element approximately every 5 years.

**3. Origin of issue:**

**Councilmember:** Vorreiter

**General Plan:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Staff:** \_\_\_\_\_

**BOARD or COMMISSION**

|                         |                                     |              |                                     |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| Arts                    | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Library      | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Bldg. Code of Appeals   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Parks & Rec. | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| CCAB                    | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Personnel    | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Heritage & Preservation | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Planning     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Housing & Human Svcs    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |              |                                     |

Board / Commission Ranking/Comment:

\_\_\_\_\_ Board / Commission ranked \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

4. Due date for Continuing and Mandatory issues (if known): \_\_\_\_\_
5. Multiple Year Project? Yes ☐ No ☒ Expected Year of Completion 2004
6. Estimated work hours for completion of the study issue.
- (a) Estimated work hours from the lead department 300
- (b) Estimated work hours from consultant(s): \_\_\_\_\_
- (c) Estimated work hours from the City Attorney's Office: 10
- (d) List any other department(s) and number of work hours:
- |                |                                   |            |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Department(s): | Dept. of Employment Development   | 100        |
|                | <u>Office of the City Manager</u> | <u>100</u> |
- Total Estimated Hours: 510
7. Expected participation involved in the study issue process?
- (a) Does Council need to approve a work plan? Yes ☒ No ☐
- (b) Does this issue require review by a Board/Commission? Yes ☒ No ☐
- If so, which Board/Commission?
- Housing and Human Services
- Planning Commission
- Child Care Advisory Board
- \_\_\_\_\_

(c) Is a Council Study Session anticipated?

Yes ☒No ☐

(d) What is the public participation process?

Standard noticing and advertisements.

## 8. Estimated Fiscal Impact:

|                            |             |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Cost of Study              | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| Capital Budget Costs       | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| New Annual Operating Costs | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| New Revenues or Savings    | <u>\$ 0</u> |
| 10 Year RAP Total          | <u>\$ 0</u> |

9. Staff Recommendation

- ☐ Recommended for Study
- ☐ Against Study
- ☒ No Recommendation

Explain below staff's recommendation if "for" or "against" study. Department director should also note the relative importance of this study to other major projects that the department is currently working on or that are soon to begin, and the impact on existing services/priorities.

*reviewed by*Department DirectorDate*approved by*City ManagerDate